



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,582 08/05/2003		08/05/2003	Takayuki Tamura	XA-9908	7087
181	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
		RIDGE PC	DARE, RYAN A		
1751 PINNA	ACLE DR	IVE			
SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833				2186	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/633,582	TAMURA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ryan Dare	2186				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	시. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u>						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	0.0.210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2006</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The amendments to the drawings and specification made on 2/8/2006 are approved, and the corresponding objections to the drawings are withdrawn.

Claim Objections

2. The amendments to the claims made on 2/8/2006 are approved, and the corresponding objections to the claims are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The amendments to the claims made on 2/8/2006 overcome the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/633,582 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1, 5-6 and 14-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang, US Patent 6,529,405. Applicant amended claims 1 and 14 such that the Chang reference appears to no longer anticipate these claims. However, the Norman et al. reference (US Patent 5,974,499) already on record, teaches the amended portions of claims 1 and 14. Accordingly, claims 1, 5-8 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Chang and Norman et al.
- 7. With respect to claim 1, Chang teaches a nonvolatile memory apparatus comprising a nonvolatile memory and a controller, in fig. 3, where numeral 100 is the controller and flash memory array 40 is the nonvolatile memory,

wherein said nonvolatile memory has a plurality of nonvolatile memory cells each for storing information of n bits (n: integer of 2 or larger), and performs a first reading operation of outputting information read from said nonvolatile memory cell as information of m bits (m: integer smaller than n) and a second reading operation of outputting information read from said nonvolatile memory cell as information of n bits, in col. 5, lines 36-50, and

wherein said controller performs the first reading operation to read first information from said nonvolatile memory and performs the second reading operation to read second information from said nonvolatile memory, in col. 5, lines 36-50.

Art Unit: 2186

Chang fails to teach a first buffer memory and second buffer memory, where the second buffer memory can be bypassed. Norman et al. teach a nonvolatile memory, which has a first buffer memory and a second buffer memory,

wherein in said first reading operation, said information read from said nonvolatile memory cell is stored to said first buffer memory without storing to said second buffer memory, in fig. 33, and col. 32, lines 26-28. This data buffer acts as the first buffer memory, and data from the data bus 42 is always stored in it. I/O Buffer 52 listed in fig. 4, is the second buffer memory. This buffer may be bypassed, as disclosed in col. 6, line 55 through col. 7, line 7.

wherein in said second reading operation, said information read from said nonvolatile memory cell is stored to said second buffer memory, and then is stored to said first buffer memory, in the case where I/O buffer is enabled, as discussed above.

- 8. Thus the combination of Chang and Norman et al. teach all limitations of independent claim 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Chang and Norman et al. before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Chang with the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Norman et al. in order for the memory array to be accessed directly for testing purposes, as taught by Norman at el. in col. 6, lines 51-54.
- 9. With respect to claim 5, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Chang further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 1,

Art Unit: 2186

wherein said nonvolatile memory cell has a threshold voltage included in one of four or more threshold voltage distributions according to information to be stored, in fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 30-39, and

wherein at the time of storing said first information into said nonvolatile memory cell, said nonvolatile memory uses a predetermined voltage between said threshold voltage distributions as a boundary, sets, as the threshold voltage of the nonvolatile memory, any of threshold voltage distributions of voltages higher than said predetermined voltage or threshold voltage distributions of voltages lower than said predetermined voltage, and compares said predetermined voltage with the threshold voltage of a nonvolatile memory cell in said first reading operation, thereby reading mbit information, in fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 30-39.

- 10. With respect to claim 6, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Chang further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 5; wherein the threshold voltage of a nonvolatile memory cell in which said first information is stored is a voltage selected from a voltage in an upper-limit threshold voltage distribution and a voltage in a lower limit threshold voltage distribution, in fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 30-39.
- 11. With respect to claim 7, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Norman et al. further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said controller can output second information read from the nonvolatile memory by said second reading operation to the outside, and can supply said second information input from the outside to the

Art Unit: 2186

nonvolatile memory, and wherein said nonvolatile memory has a memory buffer which can temporarily store second information read said second reading operation before the second information is supplied to said controller and can temporarily store second information supplied from said controller before said second information is stored into said nonvolatile memory cell, in fig. 4, I/O buffer 52, and described in col. 6, line 48 through col. 7, line 7.

- 12. With respect to claim 8, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Norman et al. further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said nonvolatile memory outputs first information by bypassing said memory buffer at the time of reading first information by said first reading operation, in col. 6, lines 51-54.
- 13. With respect to claim 12, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Norman et al. further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said controller has a controller buffer for temporarily holding second information supplied from the outside and temporarily holding second information read from the nonvolatile memory and supplied, in fig. 33 and col. 32, lines 23-30.
- 14. With respect to claim 13, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Norman et al. further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 12, wherein said controller supplies data from the controller buffer to the memory buffer, after that, stores the data in the memory buffer to a nonvolatile memory cell and, in parallel with the storing operation, can input another

Art Unit: 2186

data from the outside into the controller buffer, in col. 32, lines 23-30 which teach putting the data on the data bus 42. Once that happens, the controller buffer is free to store more data, as the data from the data bus is stored into I/O buffer 52.

15. With respect to claim 14, Chang teaches a nonvolatile memory apparatus comprising a nonvolatile memory and a controller,

wherein said nonvolatile memory has a plurality of nonvolatile memory cells each for storing information in one of four or more information storing states, and performs a first reading operation of outputting information read from said nonvolatile memory cell which is set in said information storing state as information of m bits (m: integer of 1 or larger) and a second reading operation of outputting information read from said nonvolatile memory cell which is set in said information storing state as information of n bits (n: integer larger than m), in col. 5, lines 36-50, and

wherein said controller performs the first reading operation to read first information from said nonvolatile memory and performs the second reading operation to read second information from said nonvolatile memory, in col. 5, lines 36-50.

Chang fails to teach a first buffer memory and second buffer memory, where the second buffer memory can be bypassed. Norman et al. teach a nonvolatile memory, which has a first buffer memory and a second buffer memory,

wherein said first buffer memory is adapted to store information read from said nonvolatile memory cell in performing both of said first reading operation and said second reading operation, in fig. 33, and col. 32, lines 26-28. This data buffer acts as

Art Unit: 2186

the first buffer memory, and data from the data bus 42 is always stored in it. I/O Buffer 52 listed in fig. 4, is the second buffer memory.

wherein said second buffer memory is adapted to store information read from said nonvolatile memory cell in performing said second reading operation, but not store information read from said nonvolatile memory cell in performing said first reading operation, in the case where the I/O Buffer 52 is bypassed, as disclosed in col. 6, line 55 through col. 7, line 7.

- 16. Thus the combination of Chang and Norman et al. teach all limitations of independent claim 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Chang and Norman et al. before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Chang with the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Norman et al. in order for the memory array to be accessed directly for testing purposes, as taught by Norman at el. in col. 6, lines 51-54.
- 17. With respect to claim 15, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claim as discusses supra. Chang further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 14, wherein an information storing state included in one of said four or more information storing states is a threshold voltage state included in one of four or more threshold voltage distributions of a nonvolatile memory cell, in fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 30-39.
- 18. With respect to claim 16, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discusses supra. Chang further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 15, wherein at the time of storing said first information into

Art Unit: 2186

said nonvolatile memory cell, said nonvolatile memory sets, as a threshold voltage of the nonvolatile memory cell, a voltage selected from a voltage in said threshold voltage distribution of the upper limit and a voltage in said threshold voltage distribution of the lower limit, in fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 30-39.

19. With respect to claim 17, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Norman et al. further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 16,

wherein said nonvolatile memory has a memory buffer which can hold second information read as n-bit information from each of a plurality of nonvolatile memory cells by said second reading operation, supply the second information to the controller, hold second information supplied from said controller, in fig. 4, I/O buffer 52, and described in col. 6, line 48 through col. 7, line 7.

Chang teaches to set one nonvolatile memory cell every n bits, at a threshold voltage included in one of four threshold voltage distributions, in fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 30-39.

wherein the first information read as m-bit information from each of the plurality of nonvolatile memory cells by said first reading operation to said controller, in col. 5, lines 36-50.

Norman et al. teach bypassing the memory buffer in col. 6, lines 51-54.

Art Unit: 2186

- 20. Claims 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang and Norman et al. as applied to claims 1, 5-8 and 12-16 above, and further in view of Shinohara, US Patent 5,742,934.
- 21. With respect to claim 2, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claim as discusses supra, but fail to teach that the first information is validity management information. Shinohara et al. teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said first information is validity management information indicative of validity of a storage area of said second information, in fig. 2, sector management area 101 and col. 3, lines 65-67.
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Chang, Norman et al. and Shinohara before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Chang and Norman et al. with the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Shinohara in order to identify defective blocks, as taught by Shinohara et al. in col. 6 lines 41-47.
- 23. With respect to claim 3, Chang, Norman et al. and Shinohara teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Shinohara further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 2, wherein when the nonvolatile memory is operated according to an instruction from the controller, in col. 3, lines 45-47, said controller checks validity of a storage area of said second information based on the validity management information read from the nonvolatile memory by performing the first reading operation and, when it is determined that the storage area is valid, performs the second reading operation to read the second information from the nonvolatile

Art Unit: 2186

memory, in fig. 7, decision block S35 and block S38, with reference to the specification, lines 26-42.

- 24. With respect to claim 4, Chang, Norman et al. and Shinohara teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Shinohara further teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said controller checks validity of a storage area of said second information based on the validity management information read from the nonvolatile memory by performing the first reading operation, when it is determined that the storage area is invalid, checks validity of the storage area of said second information based on the validity management information read from the nonvolatile memory by performing the first reading operation on an alternative area of the storage area of said second information and, when the storage area is valid, performs the second reading operation to read the second information from the alternative area, in fig. 7, S35, S35 and S38, with reference to the specification, lines 26-42. The alternative area is the redundant storage area represented by blocks M through M+r in fig. 2.
- 25. With respect to claim 9, Chang and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims, but fail to teach that the first information is validity management information. Shinohara et al. teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 8, wherein said first information is validity management information indicative of validity of a storage area of said second information, in fig. 2, sector management area 101 and col. 3, lines 65-67.

Art Unit: 2186

- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Chang, Norman et al. and Shinohara before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Chang and Norman et al. with the nonvolatile memory apparatus of Shinohara in order to identify defective blocks, as taught by Shinohara et al. in col. 6 lines 41-47.
- 27. With respect to claim 10, Chang, Shinohara and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims and Shinohara teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 9, wherein when the nonvolatile memory is operated according to an instruction from the controller, in col. 3, lines 45-47, said controller checks validity of a storage area of said second information based on the validity management information read from the nonvolatile memory by performing the first reading operation and, determines that the storage area is valid, in fig. 7, decision block S35 and block S38, with reference to the specification, lines 26-42. Shinohara fails to teach writing information into a buffer and then into a memory cell. Norman et al. teach writing the data into said second buffer memory and then writing it into a memory cell, in col. 7, lines 17-21.
- 28. With respect to claim 11, Chang, Shinohara and Norman et al. teach all other limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra and Shinohara teaches the nonvolatile memory apparatus according to claim 10, wherein said controller checks validity of a storage area of said second information on the basis of validity management information read from the nonvolatile memory by performing the first reading operation, when it is determined that the storage area is invalid, checks validity

Art Unit: 2186

of the storage area of said second information on the basis of validity management information read from the nonvolatile memory by performing the first reading operation on an alternative area of the storage area of said second information and, determines when the storage area is valid, in fig. 7, S35, S35 and S38, with reference to the specification, lines 26-42. The alternative area is the redundant storage area represented by blocks M through M+r in fig. 2. Shinohara fails to teach writing information into a buffer and then into a memory cell. Norman et al. teach writing the data into a memory buffer and then writing it into a memory cell, in col. 7, lines 17-21.

Conclusion

- 29. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar nonvolatile memory devices.
- 30. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 14

Application/Control Number: 10/633,582

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2186

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 31. examiner should be directed to Ryan Dare whose telephone number is (571)272-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Dare

April 13, 2006

TCHNOLOGY CERTER 2100